

January 25, 2017

The Plymouth Downtown Development Authority Saxton's RFP sub-committee met with three potential developers for the former Saxton's property at Ann Arbor Trail and Deer St. on Tuesday, Jan. 24.

The interviews were with:

1 p.m. Union Street Development

3 p.m. DevMar Development

5 p.m. Harmonie Park Development

Following presentations by all the developers and followup questions by the sub-committee, the five-member committee decided to continue discussion with Union Street Development and Harmonie Park Development.

Below is the scoring and rankings by the sub-committee for each developer.

The sub-committee will be meeting soon to discuss the next steps in the process toward development of the site.

All three developer presentations are being made available on the Downtown Development Authority website at www.downtownplymouth.org

Union Street Development - Evaluation Form Rankings

| Ranking Scores of Sub-Committee Members | | | | | TOTAL | Average Ranking by Question | |
|--|------|------|------|------|-------|-----------------------------|----------|
| | Α | В | С | D | E | | Question |
| Q1. How the concept/vision achieves goals in RFP? | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 24 | 4.80 |
| Q2. How the building is compatible with downtown, Kellogg Park, historic structures, residential properties? | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 24 | 4.80 |
| Q3. How will the concept energize the street, attract people to downtown, be a gateway, catalyze additional development? | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 23 | 4.60 |
| Q4. Is project viable? Is concept flexible? Are modifications possible? | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 19 | 3.80 |
| Q5. Most successful public/ private partnerships? Include parking deck? | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 17 | 3.40 |
| Q6 Breadth of project team? Parking deck consultant? | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 23 | 4.60 |
| Q7. Other projects with public engagement? Engagement over requirements? | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 4 | 19 | 3.80 |
| Q8. How public/private partnership will work? | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 17 | 3.40 |
| Total | 28 | 33 | 37 | 34 | 34 | 33.2 | 4.15 |
| Average Ranking by Sub-Committee Member | 3.50 | 4.13 | 4.63 | 4.25 | 4.25 | | 4.15 |

DevMar Development - Evaluation Form Rankings

| Question | | Ranking Scores of Sub-Committee Members | | | | | Average Ranking by |
|--|------|--|------|------|------|------|--------------------|
| | Α | В | С | D | E | | Question |
| Q1. How the concept/vision achieves goals in RFP? | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 16 | 3.20 |
| Q2. How the building is compatible with downtown, Kellogg Park, historic structures, residential properties? | 3 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 14 | 2.80 |
| Q3. How will the concept energize the street, attract people to downtown, be a gateway, catalyze additional development? | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 16 | 3.20 |
| Q4. Is project viable? Is concept flexible? Are modifications possible? | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 19 | 3.80 |
| Q5. Most successful public/ private partnerships? Include parking deck? | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 19 | 3.80 |
| Q6 Breadth of project team? Parking deck consultant? | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 16 | 3.20 |
| Q7. Other projects with public engagement? Engagement over requirements? | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 14 | 2.80 |
| Q8. How public/private partnership will work? | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 18 | 3.60 |
| Total | 27 | 30 | 19 | 25 | 31 | 26.4 | 3.30 |
| Average Ranking by Sub-Committee Member | 3.38 | 3.75 | 2.38 | 3.13 | 3.88 | | 3.30 |

Harmonie Park - Evaluation Form Rankings

| Rank Question | | | king Scores of Sub-Committee Members | | | | Average Ranking by |
|--|------|------|---|------|------|------|--------------------|
| | Α | В | С | D | E | | Question |
| Q1. How the concept/vision achieves goals in RFP? | 3 | 4 | 4.5 | 3 | 5 | 20 | 3.90 |
| Q2. How the building is compatible with downtown, Kellogg Park, historic structures, residential properties? | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 19 | 3.80 |
| Q3. How will the concept energize the street, attract people to downtown, be a gateway, catalyze additional development? | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 23 | 4.60 |
| Q4. Is project viable? Is concept flexible? Are modifications possible? | 5 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 21 | 4.20 |
| Q5. Most successful public/ private partnerships? Include parking deck? | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 25 | 5.00 |
| Q6 Breadth of project team? Parking deck consultant? | 5 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 24 | 4.80 |
| Q7. Other projects with public engagement? Engagement over requirements? | 5 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 5 | 22 | 4.40 |
| Q8. How public/private partnership will work? | 5 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 22 | 4.40 |
| Total | 36 | 33 | 35.5 | 32 | 39 | 35.1 | 4.39 |
| Average Ranking by Sub-Committee Member | 4.50 | 4.13 | 4.44 | 4.00 | 4.88 | | 4.39 |

Summary - Evaluation Form Rankings

| | Average Ranking Scores | | | | | |
|--|------------------------|--------|------------------|--|--|--|
| Question | Union Street | DevMar | Harmonie Park | | | |
| Q1. How the concept/vision achieves goals in RFP? | 4.80 | 3.20 | 3.90 | | | |
| Q2. How the building is compatible with downtown, Kellogg Park, historic structures, residential properties? | 4.80 | 2.80 | 3.80 | | | |
| Q3. How will the concept energize the street, attract people to downtown, be a gateway, catalyze additional development? | 4.60 | 3.20 | 4.60 | | | |
| Q4. Is project viable? Is concept flexible? Are modifications possible? | 3.80 | 3.80 | 4.20 | | | |
| Q5. Most successful public/ private partnerships? Include parking deck? | 3.40 | 3.80 | 5.00 | | | |
| Q6 Breadth of project team? Parking deck consultant? | 4.60 | 3.20 | 4.80 | | | |
| Q7. Other projects with public engagement? Engagement over requirements? | 3.80 | 2.80 | 4.40 | | | |
| Q8. How public/private partnership will work? | 3.40 | 3.60 | 4.40 | | | |
| TOTAL Ranking | 33.20 | 26.40 | 35.10 | | | |
| Average Ranking by Question | 4.15 | 3.30 | 4.39 | | | |